

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/880,943	06/15/2001	Kiril A. Pandelisev	PHOENIX SCIENTIFIC 5959		
39083 CERMAK KE	7590 11/15/2007 NEALY & VAIDYA, LLP	EXAMINER			
515 EAST BRADDOCK RD SUITE B			LOPEZ, CARLOS N		
Alexandria, V	A 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	11/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/880,943		PANDELISEV, KIRIL A.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		/Carlos Lopez/		1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availar after SIX (6) MONTHS from the real of the second of the se	R, FROM THE MAILING D ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 nailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period attended period for reply will, by statuti later than three months after the mailin	DATE OF THIS (136(a). In no event, ho will apply and will expi e. cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be time re SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.				
Status								
2a)⊠ This action is FINA	, —	s action is non-fi		secution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above classified (a) Of the above classified (b) Claim(s) 109-122, 1 6) Claim(s) 241,251-2 7) Claim(s) is/a	28-135 and 241-280 is/are aim(s) is/are withdrawn 28-135,242-250 and 272-271 and 280 is/are rejected are objected to.	from considerati 279 is/are allowe	ion. ed.					
Application Papers		•						
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not red Replacement drawing	objected to by the Examine on is/are: a) according a cordinate that any objection to the g sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the E	cepted or b) or b or b or b or b or b or b or	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
12) Acknowledgment is a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application fr	made of a claim for foreign * c) None of: ies of the priority documenties of the priority documente certified copies of the priority documenter the International Bureatailed Office action for a list	ts have been red ts have been red prity documents au (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive .2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (Fig. 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 3) Information Disclosure Staten Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1 IDS.	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number:

09/880,943 Art Unit: 1791

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 241, 251-271 and 280 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has not pointed out where the new (or amended) claim is supported, nor does there appear to be a written description of the claim limitations recited in such as a uniform temperature through out the substrate as recited in claim 241, a substantially sealed chamber as recited in claim 251, or a silica stream generator located adjacent a wall of the chamber as recited in claim 280.

Claims 251-256,259-262,264-271 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Feeding silica particles to a chamber comprised of at least one substrate is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Claim 251 is merely requiring the placement of silica particles adjacent to the chamber but the mere placement does not produce silica fiber optic preforms.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is 571.272.1193. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571.272.1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

09/880,943 Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carlos Lopez/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

CL